

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,356	01/23/2002	Fatollah Youssefifar	20272/0700	3388	
30678 7:	590 04/25/2006		EXAMINER		
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			DUNWOODY	DUNWOODY, AARON M	
SUITE 800 1990 M STREI	ET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425			3679		
		DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/052,356	YOUSSEFIFAR, FATOLLAH		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Aaron M. Dunwoody	3679		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solid part of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timustilly apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 February 2006</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-16</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413) . ate atent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regards to claim 11, there is an inconsistency between the language in the preamble and certain portions in the body of the claim, thereby making the scope of the claim unclear. The preamble in claim clearly indicates that a subcombination is being claimed, e.g., "a coupling". This language would lead the examiner to believe that the applicant intends to claim only the subcombination of "a coupling" the corrugated pipe being only functionally recited. This presents no problem as long as the body of the claim also refers to the corrugated pipe functionally, such as, "for attachment to said pipe."

The problem arises when the corrugated pipe is positively recited within the body of the claim, such as, "said pipe." There is an inconsistency within the claim; the preamble indicates subcombination, while in at least one instance in the body of the claim there is a positive recital of structure indicating that the combination of a and a are being claimed. The examiner cannot be sure if applicant's intent is to claim merely the coupling or the coupling in combination with the corrugated pipe.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-8, 10 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US patent 6349980, Schwarz et al.

In regards to claims 1 and 12, Schwarz et al discloses a coupling for a pipe (2), the coupling comprising:

a housing (3) of a relatively rigid plastics material, the housing having a bore therein;

a retainer (not shown) retaining the pipe within the housing when the pipe is pushed into the housing; and

a layer of a relatively deformable material (7,12) distinct from the retainer on at least a part of both an inner surface and an outer surface of the housing wherein the

Art Unit: 3679

layer on the inner surface deforms against an outside of the pipe to form a sealing engagement.

In regards to claim 3, Schwarz et al discloses the layer on the inner surface providing a tapering surface.

In regards to claim 4, Schwarz et al discloses the retainer being formed integrally with the housing.

In regards to claim 5, Schwarz et al discloses the retainer including at least one resilient catch member adapted to engage a projection on the pipe (col. 3, lines 35-40).

In regards to claim 6, Schwarz et al discloses the pipe having a corrugated external surface, and wherein the catch member is adapted to engage between the corrugations.

In regards to claim 7, Schwarz et al discloses the layer on the outer surface including a part formed on external ledge of the housing to provide a seal with a cooperating member.

In regards to claim 8, Schwarz et al discloses the layer on the outer surface including a part that provides a manual gripping region.

In regards to claim 10, Schwarz et al discloses the deformable material being an elastomeric material.

In regards to claim 13, Schwarz et al discloses a method of forming a coupling comprising the steps of injecting a first material of a relatively hard plastics material to form a housing of the coupling with an integral retainer; and subsequently injecting a second, softer, deformable material to form a layer on the harder material both on an

Art Unit: 3679

inside and outside of the housing, wherein the deformable material is distinct from the integral retainer, and wherein the layer on the inside of the housing surrounds the integral retainer and deforms into sealing engagement with an outside of a pipe when the pipe is pushed into the housing.

In regards to claims 14-16, Schwarz discloses the retainer including at least one resilient catch member.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7-10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 5799986, Corbett et al.

In regards to claims 1 and 12, Corbett et al discloses a coupling for a pipe (140), the coupling comprising:

a housing (91) of a relatively rigid plastics material, the housing having a bore therein;

a retainer (110) retaining the pipe within the housing when the pipe is pushed into the housing; and

a layer of a relatively deformable material (92) distinct from the retainer on at least a part of both an inner surface and an outer surface of the housing wherein the layer on the inner surface deforms against an outside of the pipe to form a sealing engagement.

In regards to claim 3, Corbett et al discloses the layer on the inner surface providing a tapering surface.

Art Unit: 3679

In regards to claim 4, Corbett et al discloses the retainer being formed integrally with the housing.

In regards to claim 7, Corbett et al discloses the layer on the outer surface including a part formed on external ledge of the housing to provide a seal with a cooperating member.

In regards to claim 8, Corbett et al discloses the layer on the outer surface including a part that provides a manual gripping region.

In regards to claim 9, Corbett et al discloses the layer on the inner and outer surfaces being continuous with one another.

In regards to claim 10, Corbett et al discloses the deformable material being an elastomeric material.

In regards to claim 13, Corbett et al discloses a method of forming a coupling comprising the steps of injecting a first material of a relatively hard plastics material to form a housing of the coupling with an integral retainer; and subsequently injecting a second, softer, deformable material to form a layer on the harder material both on an inside and outside of the housing, wherein the deformable material is distinct from the integral retainer, and wherein the layer on the inside of the housing surrounds the integral retainer and deforms into sealing engagement with an outside of a pipe when the pipe is pushed into the housing.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims above have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3679

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M. Dunwoody whose telephone number is 571-272-7080. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 571-272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,356 Page 8

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aaron M Dunwoody Primary Examiner Art Unit 3679

.amd